

Hampshire Statement of Community Involvement

Consultation on revisions to the
Hampshire SCI

Summary of Responses Report



February 2017

Contents

1. Introduction	3
2. Statement of Community Involvement	4
2.1 What is the Statement of Community Involvement?	4
2.2 Why has the Statement of Community Involvement been reviewed?	5
3. Consultation on the revised Statement of Community Involvement	6
3.1 Who was consulted and how?	6
3.2 What was consulted upon?	6
3.3 How many responses were received?	7
3.4 Who responded to the consultation?	7
3.5 How were responses made?	8
3.6 Responses received to the consultation	8
3.7 Responses received outside of the scope of the consultation	9
4. Proposed Changes to the draft Statement of Community Involvement	9
5. What happens next?	12
6. Where can I find more information on the Statement of Community Involvement?	13
Appendix 1: Summary of Responses on Proposed Changes to the Statement of Community Involvement	14

1. Introduction

- 1.1 The involvement of local communities in the planning process is seen as a priority by the Government, who want Local Planning Authorities (LPAs), like Hampshire County Council, to make it easier for everyone to be involved in planning in their local area.
- 1.2 LPAs are required by the Government's planning legislation¹ to produce a Statement of Community of Involvement (SCI), which specifies how the LPA will consult statutory bodies, organisations and local communities which may have an interest in planning matters in its area.
- 1.3 The SCI is a statement of policy for involving local communities in matters related to minerals, waste and County Council developments within its area.
- 1.4 Hampshire County Council has prepared a revised SCI to:
 - set out new and improved procedures for consultation with local communities; and
 - reflect changes to National Planning Policy Guidance and County Council strategies, policies and guidance.
- 1.5 The County Council is committed to encouraging and improving participation from all sections of the community. More information regarding the nature of the SCI is set out in Section 2 of this report.
- 1.6 The draft SCI document was subject to a 6 week consultation, from 7 November to 19 December 2016, during which time consultees and interested parties were given the opportunity to express their views on the content of the draft SCI. Consultees and interested parties were asked to express their comments through the completion of a response form submitted via email, online or post (more information is set out in Section 3 of this report: 'Consultation on the Proposed Modifications'. The response form contained a set number of questions used to guide responses in order to facilitate more relevant and coherent representations.
- 1.7 The responses received were reviewed by County Council officers and taken into consideration during finalisation of the SCI, where appropriate. A summary of the responses will be presented to the Council's Economy, Transport and Environment Select Committee and Regulatory Committee in 2017. The outcomes of the consultation will also be summarised in the report taken to Full Council when adoption will be considered SCI.
- 1.8 The results of the consultation are summarised in Section 4: and Appendix 1 of this report.
- 1.9 The revised SCI will eventually replace the previously adopted SCI (2014) and if the County Council resolves to adopt the document in due course.

¹ Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, part 2, sections 18, 19, 26 and 28 and part 3

2. Statement of Community Involvement

2.0.1 This section of the summary report focuses on the background to the Hampshire Statement of Community involvement (SCI).

2.1 What is the Statement of Community Involvement?

2.1.1 The Hampshire SCI describes how Hampshire County Council will:

- *fulfil its legal duties to ensure community involvement in the planning process;*
- *involve local communities at each of the stages of plan-making in relation to the policies of mineral and waste development;*
- *involve local communities in reaching decisions on mineral, waste and County Council developments; and*
- *provide feedback to local communities and interested parties.*

2.1.2 In recent years, Hampshire County Council has worked in partnership with the other Minerals and Waste Planning Authorities (MWPAs) within the County (Southampton City Council, Portsmouth City Council, New Forest National Park Authority and the South Downs National Park Authority – hereafter referred to as the ‘Hampshire Authorities’) to produce the Hampshire Minerals & Waste Plan (HMWP). The HMWP was adopted by each of the Hampshire Authorities in October 2013. The other MWPAs^{2 3 4 5} each have separate SCI document which describe how each authority will seek to involve its own local communities in the most effective way.

2.1.3 The following map illustrates the County Council administrative area for which the draft SCI covers:


² Portsmouth City SCI (2012) - www.portsmouth.gov.uk/media/Final_SCI.pdf

³ Southampton City Council SCI (2013) – www.southampton.gov.uk/Images/130311%20SCI%20for%20Cabinet_tcm46-347397.pdf

⁴ New Forest National Park Authority SCI (2013) - www.newforestnpa.gov.uk/download/downloads/id/722/statement_of_community_involvement_2013

⁵ South Downs National Park Authority SCI (2014)- www.southdowns.gov.uk/_data/assets/pdf_file/0004/418963/Statement-of-Community-Involvement-first-revision-Jan-2014.pdf

Figure 1: Area covered by the revised SCI



2.2 Why has the Statement of Community Involvement been reviewed?

2.2.1 The current [Hampshire Statement of Community Involvement](#) was adopted by Hampshire County Council in 2014. This incorporated changes to various changes pieces of legislation such as the [Planning Act 2008](#), the [Localism Act 2011](#) and [Town and Country Planning Local Planning Regulations \(2012\)](#).

2.2.2 As communities and interested parties are fundamental to the planning process, Hampshire County Council sought views on the revisions to the Statement of Community Involvement (SCI). The revised SCI includes:

- improved procedures;
- reflects changes to national planning practice guidance; and
- reflects changes to Hampshire County Council strategies, policies and guidance.

3. Consultation on the revised Statement of Community Involvement

3.0.1 This section of the summary report focuses on the consultation on the draft SCI, namely:

- *who was consulted;*
- *how the consultation took place;*
- *what was consulted upon;*
- *the number of responses received to the consultation;*
- *who responded;*
- *how consultation responses were received; and*
- *the types of responses received.*

3.1 Who was consulted and how?

3.1.1 The County Council sought to consult all known interested parties on the consultation and inform them of the opportunity to comment. The consultation ran from 7 November – 19 December 2016. An email or letter (where email was not available) was sent to consultees and interested parties for which the County Council has an obligation to consult on planning policy and development management work, as well as all other consultees and interested parties who have expressed an interest in being informed in plan preparation held in a dedicated database.

3.1.2 Additionally, a press release was issued by Hampshire County Council to mark the start of the consultation period. The consultation was also publicised on the Strategic Planning [website](#), as well as through the official County Council [Twitter feed](#).

3.1.3 An electronic and editable response form was available on the Strategic Planning webpages.

3.2 What was consulted upon?

3.2.1 The response form asked questions on the different aspects of community involvement covered in the SCI. The form was split into 4 areas:

1. general aspects;
2. plan-making;
3. development management (planning applications); and
4. other related comments.

3.2.2 **General aspects** - The first two questions covered the more general aspects including whether respondents:

- *agreed with the overall content of the draft SCI;*
- *felt that anything else needed to be covered; and*
- *agreed with the principles defined in the draft in relation to HCC's different planning processes.*

- 3.2.3 **Plan-making** – Respondents were asked if they thought the draft SCI document was sufficient in providing opportunities to:
- *inform and raise awareness of plan-preparation;*
 - *contribute consultees' views on these matters;*
 - *participate in identifying the needs and priorities of planning policy documents; and*
 - *be engaged in the preparation of any supplementary planning documentation (post-adoption of a development plan).*
- 3.2.4 **Planning applications** – Respondents were asked whether the:
- *responsibilities of Hampshire County Council in the determination of different classes of planning applications were clearly set out in the document;*
 - *consultation arrangements were sufficient and appropriate with regards to:*
 - pre-application discussions;
 - publicity;
 - public involvement in the determination of planning applications;
 - planning decisions;
 - appeals; and
 - *proposals in the draft SCI struck the right balance between providing sufficient opportunities for comment and making timely and effective decisions;*
 - *Other views on the SCI.*
- 3.2.5 **Other Comments** – The questions in this section were focused on the method by which the respondents were informed of the draft SCI consultation, as well as the capacity in which they were responding (e.g. individual residents, or representatives of an organisation or local authority). Respondents were also asked if they had any other views on the content of the draft SCI.

3.3 How many responses were received?

3.3.1 In total, 29 representations were received by the County Council during the consultation period.


3.4 Who responded to the consultation?

3.4.1 These were received from the following sources:

- *private residents or organisations;*
- *other authorities;*
- *parish councils; and*
- *statutory organisations.*

3.4.2 This is highlighted in the following pie chart:

Figure 2: Proportion of representations made by respondent type.



3.5 How were responses made?

- 3.5.1 In order to make representations for the SCI consultation, respondents were asked to fill out a response form or online survey with pre-determined questions in order to help clarify the nature of the comments, issues or concerns (if any) each representation raised.
- 3.5.2 It is important to note, that some of the responses received did not directly relate to the draft SCI document itself or the questions being asked in the response form. These included comments focussed on minerals and waste site allocations or other potential sites considered as part of the Hampshire Minerals and Waste Plan preparation process.
- 3.5.3 In addition, some representations received did not use the dedicated questions. In these cases, or where responses did not directly correspond to the question being asked, officers used their own judgement in order to assign comments to the most relevant question on the form.

3.6 Responses received to the consultation

- 3.6.1 A number of representations expressed their support for the measures outlined in the draft SCI, indicating that they are considered to be sufficient and appropriate to cover community involvement in minerals and waste matters and stated that they believe that this type of consultation is vital.
- 3.6.2 Other representations were generally favourable but had a few comments to make on specific parts of the draft SCI. Engagement with utility providers such as water companies is supported where there may be a potential impact on water resources.

3.6.3 Hampshire County Council's response to all of the issues raised in relation to making representations and receiving feedback is outlined in [Appendix 1](#) of this report.

3.7 Responses received outside of the scope of the consultation

3.7.1 The following provides a summary of the types of responses received which were considered to be outside of the scope of the consultation including responses relating to:

- specific mineral or waste sites;
- the monitoring and enforcement of permissions-.

4. Proposed Changes to the draft Statement of Community Involvement

4.0.1 As part of the finalisation of the SCI, a small number of other textual and formatting changes will be made for clarification purposes.

4.0.2 Whilst reviewing the draft SCI in light of the responses received as part of the consultation, a small number of amendments are proposed to be made to the document as a direct result of the consultation responses received. The justifications for making these changes are set out in [Appendix 1](#). Proposed changes include the following:

Table 1: Proposed changes to the Statement of Community Involvement following consultation

Section of the SCI	Proposed change
<u>General amendments</u>	Amendments to the format and text of figures 3, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19 and 23 and other textual updates as required.
Have YOUR Say on the revised Statement of Community Involvement (2016)	Remove section
How to get involved in planning at Hampshire County Council	
1. Introduction to the Hampshire Statement of Community Involvement	
<i>1.1. Why does Hampshire County Council need to prepare a Statement of Community Involvement</i>	Amendments to the format and text of Figure 1 and textual amendments in relation to partnership working
<i>1.4. Meeting the Duty to Co-operate</i>	The box after para 1.4.1 will be amended to make reference to statutory consultees.
2. Who needs to be involved in the planning process in Hampshire?	
	<p>Amendments to figure 4 to the format and text. The following other textual changes will be made:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inclusion of '<i>Public Utilities, National Grid, Statutory Undertakers</i>' as <i>Statutory Consultees</i> for plan-making. • Figure 4 will also be amended to reflect relevant Town and Parish Councils outside of Hampshire which may be impacted by the proposal.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Addition of Network Rail as a other consultee
3. How will communities be engaged in the development of a Minerals and Waste Local Plan or Supplementary Planning Documents in Hampshire?	
<i>3.1. Preparation and adoption of a Minerals and Waste Local Plan</i>	Paragraph 3.1.13 will be amended for clarification purposes in relation to public consultation
4. How will communities be engaged in Development management in Hampshire - minerals, waste and County Council development planning applications?	
<i>4.2. Publicity and consultation on planning applications being considered by Hampshire County Council</i>	Amendments to the format and text in figure 15 and some textual changes
	Reference to the scheme of delegation to be added
<i>4.3. How to comment on a planning application being considered by Hampshire County Council</i>	Textual amendments to paragraphs 4.3.10-4.3.12
<i>4.4. How notification will take place for planning applications determined by Hampshire County Council</i>	Amendments to the format and text in figure 20 with some amendments to the text
	Amendments to the format and text in figure 21 and amendments to the text
<i>4.5. Planning Appeals - publicity and notification</i>	Amendments to the format and text in figure 22 and amendments to the text
Glossary	Amendments to the definition of AONBs

5. What happens next?

- 5.1.1 Now that the consultation period has ended, the Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) will be reviewed and amended as appropriate (see [section 4](#) of this report).
- 5.1.2 The SCI will then be finalised ready for the County Council to consider adoption of the document. It is anticipated that the SCI will be adopted in 2017.
- 5.1.3 Once adopted, the SCI will replace the previous [Hampshire Statement of Community Involvement](#).
- 5.1.4 Following adoption of the revised SCI, the document will be reviewed as necessary to ensure it is up to date and in line with current legislation, ensuring it includes an appropriate benchmark for meeting consultation requirements. The effectiveness of the SCI will be considered through the preparation of monitoring reports, which are produced as part of the statutory requirement for Hampshire County Council to monitor adopted minerals and waste development documents (e.g. the Hampshire Minerals and Waste Plan).
- 5.1.5 Monitoring reports will indicate the extent to which the policies and proposals in the adopted minerals and waste development documents, such as the SCI, are being achieved. The monitoring process will involve the assessment of:
- *how effective the SCI is in helping the community, consultees and interested parties be involved in minerals and waste planning in Hampshire;*
 - *how appropriate the SCI's proposed techniques for consultation are, and how easily they can be carried out; and*
 - *whether any new Government guidance will need to be incorporated into a revised version of the SCI.*

6. Where can I find more information on the Statement of Community Involvement?

6.0.1 The currently adopted SCI can be viewed on the County Council's [webpages](#), or at the County Council offices in Winchester (by appointment, during normal office hours).

6.0.2 If you require any further information regarding the SCI, you can contact the County Council in the following ways;



0300 555 1389



planning@hants.gov.uk



Strategic Planning, Economy Transport & Environment Department ,
Hampshire County Council, The Castle, Winchester, Hampshire
SO23 8UD

Appendix 1: Summary of Responses on Proposed Changes to the Statement of Community Involvement

The following tables set out a summary of responses received to the consultation on the draft SCI. They are set out by question. Please note the following:

- where a response has been received from a local resident, names have been removed and replaced with 'local resident and the appropriate response reference number';
- Only organisation names appear in the table; and
- Only responses received against specific questions will be noted in the table below. If no response has been received from a respondent, it has not been recorded as a record.

Question 1: Do you agree with the content of the draft Statement of Community Involvement?

Respondent	Summary of Response Received	Officer Response	Amendments
Local resident – 1	No	Noted	No changes to the SCI required.
Local resident – 2	Any extension, variation or intensification of the recycling plant and waste storage or change of its access/egress at Four Dell Farm would be strongly resisted by the Compton community. It would be preferable for the site to be removed and restored to its former agricultural use, so avoiding loss of countryside amenity, HGV traffic movements and anti-social light, noise and dust pollution. Thank you for the opportunity to comment.	Whilst the comments are noted, these do not relate to the proposed revisions to the SCI.	No changes to the SCI required.
West Berkshire Council	Thank you for the opportunity to comment. West Berkshire Council has no further comment to make.	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.

Respondent	Summary of Response Received	Officer Response	Amendments
Local resident – 4	I have read it I and I am happy with what I have read.	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.
Highways England	We have reviewed the consultation and have no comments.	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.
Local resident - 6	Thank you for the visibility.	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.
Tichborne Parish Council	Yes	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.
Meon Shore Chalet Owners Association	Thank you for continuing to include us in your consultation procedures. The Meon Shore Chalet Owners Association remains interested in the HCC Minerals and Waste proposals and is heartened to see the care you are taking to involve public consultation. I see nothing to contradict the revisions you have put forward here, other than to suggest that HCC notification to neighbours who are within 100 metres of a proposed rural site of a planning application might more properly be extended to 200 metres. And I think you might highlight your website and email addresses for accessing information: they are so useful	Noted. The 100 metre buffer is considered to be reasonable. However, the SCI gives the flexibility for this to be extended based on local circumstances. Neighbourhood notification is part of a package of measures we undertake to inform the local community of proposed development in the area.	No changes to the SCI required.
Chineham Parish Council	We consider the design and layout of the Hampshire County Council website makes it difficult for members of the public to find planning applications online.	Noted. The Council is in the process of amending and reviewing its web pages.	No changes to the SCI required.

Respondent	Summary of Response Received	Officer Response	Amendments
Local resident – 12	No	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.
Lee-on-the-Solent Residents Association	<p>Absolutely not - this appears to be a deliberate attempt to dissuade the average resident from taking part. 64 page consultation, with no summary, and a 10 page response is madness. Most householders will give up after the first few pages. I hope this is not HCC's intent. There is a reliable first hand report an ex-government minister that many government authorities have adopted a policy of seeing consultations as just a 12-week delay before proceeding as they wish. Rightly or wrongly, this consultation has strong signs this. This consultation was discussed at a Lee Residents' committee meeting on 17th Nov and the tone of this response approved.</p>	<p>Noted. The content and structure of the SCI is set out in Government policy and guidance and the council has a duty to meet these requirements. A summary of the proposed changes was available to view on the website as part of the consultation.</p> <p>The County Council will produce a summary version of the key aspects of the SCI for the website. A summary of how to respond to planning applications has already been recently prepared and put on our website. A link to this is now sent out with every neighbourhood notification letter.</p>	No changes to the SCI required. .
Stephen Bowley Planning Consultancy	Very thorough and informative. Most of the consultation procedures are specified by elsewhere - eg Govt legislation or appeal procedures etc, but helpful for the	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.

Respondent	Summary of Response Received	Officer Response	Amendments
	public to have in one place. Good to have the publicity procedures for amendments to applications to be set out - always a grey area.		
Verwood Town Council	<p>Under 1.4.2 Consequently, in preparing minerals and waste plans and in circumstances where development in Hampshire may impact other areas, it is important to involve neighbouring LPAs and other consultees and interested parties. As a result, the duty to co-operate is also an important consideration and is reflected in this SCI.</p> <p>The Town Council feel that they should be classed as a Statutory Consultee due to the proximity to Hampshire. You do state under 2.4 that Parish and Town Councils are under Statutory Consultees but as we are in Dorset the Town Council wishes to ensure that any issue raised close to the Town is brought to our attention.</p>	Noted. The Town Council would be consulted on any planning application in proximity to its boundaries, as relevant, in line with the provisions of the SCI.	Figure 4 will be amended to reflect relevant Town and Parish Councils outside of Hampshire which may be impacted by the proposal.
Local resident – 17	Broadly a "yes"	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.
Local resident – 19	Yes	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.
Gosport Borough Council	Yes	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.
Cranborne Chase AONB	The document will be particularly helpful to those that know little about planning	Noted. The SCI does not seek to specifically explain the roles	Glossary definition will be amended to reflect the

Respondent	Summary of Response Received	Officer Response	Amendments
	<p>procedures and those that wish to be involved at the appropriate stages.</p> <p>Nevertheless from an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty perspective there is very little about the status and importance of AONBs in the main text. This is perhaps due to the less than fulsome entry for AONBs in the Glossary. Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty have the highest landscape value, as confirmed by NPPF paragraphs 115 and 116 and the Glossary entry should be amended to reflect that high level, national, status. It would also be helpful to readers to know that the day to day guidance of the management of AONBs is undertaken by local partnerships comprised of the relevant local authorities, statutory special interest organisations, and appropriate voluntary bodies. Designation is by the Secretary of State.</p> <p>The diagrams in the draft document are particularly helpful. However, I would strongly suggest that Figure 1 could be enhanced by including partnerships, such as the AONB Partnerships, the local nature partnerships and the local economy partnerships.</p>	<p>of different organisations in the planning process. It merely seeks to identify and summarise those who may have an interest.</p> <p>The comments made in relation to taking into account the reasons for AONB designation, conserving and enhancing natural beauty, when coming to any decision that affects land within this AONB are noted and would be taken into account in decision making.</p>	<p>comments.</p> <p>Figure 1 will be amended in relation to partnership working</p> <p>Figure 20 will be amended for clarification</p>

Respondent	Summary of Response Received	Officer Response	Amendments
	<p>You will, be aware of the duty of Hampshire County Council to take into account the reasons for AONB designation, conserving and enhancing natural beauty, when coming to any decision that affects land within this AONB. That is Section 85 of the Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000 and including that within the section 1.4, Duty to Co-operate, could be a way of demonstrating the Council's commitment. I note that the only mention of Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty is in Figure 4 in section 2.4, although National Parks, of equal landscape status, are mentioned many, many more times. I realise that National Park Authorities are planning authorities in their own right but the status of the landscape is not higher than that of Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty. As equally important elements of the nation's heritage and natural capital they should, I advise, be treated similarly.</p> <p>On a point of detail I note that in Figure 20, relating to non-delegated decisions, there is the criterion 'the applicant is an officer of the County Council'. Do you really mean 'officer' or do you mean 'member', or do you mean both?</p>		
Environment Agency	Having reviewed the document we have no comments to make. The document is clear	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.

Respondent	Summary of Response Received	Officer Response	Amendments
	and recognises our role as a statutory consultee at all stages of the planning process.		
Ellisfield Village Association	Yes	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.
Natural England	We note and agree that Natural England are a Statutory consultee. We have no further comments to make.	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.
Hyde Parish Council	Process seems sound in lead up to making decisions. Process is vague as to consultation and escalation and enforcement of conditions by HCC. Process could be more explicit as to how liaison with NFNPA/Dorset/Wiltshire CC will work	The SCI does not set out any information on the compliance of any planning permissions granted. This document relates purely to the consultation on planning policy and development management matters. More information on compliance is set out in the Councils Site Enforcement and Monitoring Plan (2016) .	No changes to the SCI required.
Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council	The Duty to Co-operate is mentioned, however, the SCI would benefit from detailing how HCC intends to meet its obligations under the duty, particularly with the borough council, both in terms of delivering sites that are owned by the county council that have a mineral or waste designation or a planning application for a minerals and water site that falls within the borough boundary.	Hampshire's district and borough councils are specifically mentioned in relation to the duty to cooperate in section 1.4 of the SCI. The definitions of major / minor are set out in the Councils scheme of delegation and are not relevant to the SCI.	No changes to the SCI required. . Reference to the scheme of delegation to be added to section 4.2 of the SCI.

Respondent	Summary of Response Received	Officer Response	Amendments
	<p>For clarity, it is recommend that the SCI should define what is meant by major/minor development in terms of consulting on planning applications</p> <p>An SCI is often a requirement for major developments to demonstrate how relevant consultees have been consulted during the preparation of the planning application. It may be beneficial to list what this could cover for any 'major' applications that are submitted to HCC. For your information, the Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council 1APP process states the following "Required for all major applications to show how the developer has complied with the requirements for pre-application consultation as set out in the adopted Statement of Community Involvement."</p>	<p>Amendments to the council's validation list will cover the requirements for major applications to detail how the SCI has been complied with.</p>	<p>No changes to the SCI required. As this issue will be covered by the forthcoming consultation on the amendments to the Council's planning application validation guidance.</p>
Historic England	Generally, yes.	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.
Local resident – 29	Yes	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.

Question 2: Are there any other matters that you feel the SCI should address but are not currently covered in the draft? If yes, please specify.

Respondent	Summary of Response Received	Officer Response	Amendments
Local resident – 1	If you are serious about obtaining public feedback, 64 pages of complex argument is ridiculous and guarantees lack of take up. The response form is not suitable for online completion by the average householder. How do you put in a tick? A signature – most don't have it?	<p>Noted. The content and structure of the SCI is set out in Government policy and guidance and the council has a duty to meet these requirements. A summary of the proposed changes was available to view on the website as part of the consultation.</p> <p>The comments on the response form are noted. An electronic form was also available. When preparing further consultations we will consider the accessibility of the response methods and consider providing guidance on how to fill them in.</p> <p>Hampshire County Council will produce summary version of the key aspects of the SCI will be produced for the website. A summary of how to respond to planning applications has</p>	No changes to the SCI required.

Respondent	Summary of Response Received	Officer Response	Amendments
		already been recently prepared and put on our website. A link to this is now sent out with every neighbourhood notification letter.	
Tichborne Parish Council	No	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.
Local resident – 12	The views of the individual are never taken into account	The purpose of the SCI is to set out how the views of local residents and interested parties will be sought and how these will be taken into account, amongst other considerations when preparing planning policy and decisions on planning applications. Public consultation is an essential part of the planning process and Hampshire County Council is committed to this.	No changes to the SCI required.
Lee-on-the-Solent Residents Association	How could there be at 65 pages!	Noted. The content and structure of the SCI is set out in Government policy and guidance and the council has a duty to meet these requirements. A summary of the proposed changes was available to view on the website as part of the consultation.	No changes to the SCI required.

Respondent	Summary of Response Received	Officer Response	Amendments
Local resident – 17	Access to transportation from sites needs to be more of a specific consideration. It is at present "hidden". F4 Network Rail should be a "named consultee". I read transport operators to be South West Trains, Southern etc and bus companies e.g. First.	Noted.	Figure 4 will be amended to include reference to Network Rail
Local resident – 19	Yes - reference is made to Environmental Assessments, but no details are given to Noise Studies, I believe this is a major omission.	Noted. The SCI relates specifically to community engagement on planning policy and development management. It will not set out specific requirements for studies to accompany planning applications.	No changes to the SCI required.
Gosport Borough Council	No	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.
Ellisfield Village Association	4.2.12 says residents in rural areas within 100 metres would be notified of planning applications. In the quiet of the country, noise travels far as does light pollution on unlit lanes. 100 metres is not far enough, consultation needs to be wider. Ellisfield is 1.5km from the Veolia site on Bushywarren Lane yet we hear, smell and see the site. Ellisfield's parish boundary is very close to the site, but not within 100 metres, and the Ellisfield Parish Council was not notified of either of the two planning applications for garden recycling, firstly when altered from a SINC to a small industrial site nor when	Noted. The 100 metre buffer is considered to be reasonable. However, the SCI gives the flexibility for this to be extended based on local circumstances. Neighbourhood notification is part of a package of measures we undertake to inform the local community of proposed development in the area. It is important that local communities report any issues	No changes to the SCI required.

Respondent	Summary of Response Received	Officer Response	Amendments
	enlarged to a big industrial site.	associated with planning permissions granted by the authority to our monitoring and enforcement officers so that they can investigate. More information on reporting breaches can be found on our website .	
Hyde Parish Council	State issues that have arisen regarding current policies in last few years. Highlight changes to proposed v current process.	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.
Historic England	<p>Yes: paragraphs 1.4 and 3.1.12 of the SCI refer to the Duty to Co-operate. As you will be aware, the Historic Buildings and Monuments Commission (Historic England) is a “prescribed body” by virtue of Part 2 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 and is therefore required to co-operate in relation to planning of sustainable development with local planning authorities and other prescribed bodies by Section 33A of Part 2 of the Planning and Compulsory Act (as inserted by Section 110 of the Localism Act 2011).</p> <p>Our understanding of the Regulations is that the duty applies in respect of all strategic matters. However, the National Planning Practice Guidance advises that the prescribed bodies “should be proportionate in how they do this and tailor</p>	Noted.	The box after para 1.4.1 will be amended to make reference to statutory consultees.

Respondent	Summary of Response Received	Officer Response	Amendments
	<p>the degree of cooperation according to where they can maximise the effectiveness of plans". Historic England confines its involvement in planning issues to matters that involve or otherwise affect the historic environment. Historic England's duty to co-operate is therefore appropriate in respect of strategic matters that would involve or otherwise affect the historic environment, including the heritage assets therein. We consider that Historic England (and the other statutory consultation bodies) should be specifically identified in the box under this paragraph. (We welcome the identification of Historic England in Figure 4).</p>		
Local resident – 29	<p>When Large Applications are submitted, all the information supplied by the applicant, either directly or through their consultants must be monitored by Hampshire County Council/ Head of Planning or Planning Officer on a regular basis. The reason for extra monitoring: - Large company/Consultant can place any information within their reports that they know will secure approval. This information is then submitted to Head of Planning and Regulatory Committee for approval. HCC and Committee make their decision the information provided. Unless EVERYTHING in that report is put as a CONDITION the</p>	<p>Noted. The SCI does not set out any information on the compliance of any planning permissions granted. This document relates purely to the consultation on planning policy and development management matters. More information on compliance is set out in the Councils Site Enforcement and Monitoring Plan (2016).</p>	<p>No changes to the SCI required.</p>

Respondent	Summary of Response Received	Officer Response	Amendments
	<p>applicant can and will run their business with the knowledge that everything they stated in their application will not be checked or monitored again by HCC. Example: - traffic volume, waste transportation distance, country lane hazards, wildlife monitoring, to name a few. HCC must ensure compliance with planning permission granted and enforce control, that can only be done with regular monitoring.</p>		

PLAN-MAKING

Question 3: In relation to the preparation of the minerals and waste planning policy do the proposals in the draft SCI provide sufficient and appropriate opportunities to:

- a. provide information and raise awareness? (please state why and what you wish to see in terms of changes;
- b. contribute your views in response to consultations? (please state why and what you wish to see in terms of changes)
- c. actively participate in identifying needs and priorities for the relevant planning policy document where you seek them? (please state why and what you wish to see in terms of changes
- d. be consulted and engaged in the preparation of any supplementary planning guidance documents (produced after the adoption of a development plan)

Respondent	Summary of Response Received	Officer Response	Amendments
Local Resident - 1	Suggests the language is not readable to the lay person.	Noted. The County Council has tried to ensure that the document has been produced in plain English. A summary of its main provisions will be prepared and published following adoption.	No changes to the SCI required.
Local resident – 2	N/A	Noted	No changes to the SCI required.
West Berkshire Council	N/A	Noted	No changes to the SCI required.
Local resident – 4	N/A	Noted	No changes to the SCI required.
Highways England	N/A	Noted	No changes to the SCI required.
Local resident – 6	N/A	Noted	No changes to the SCI

Respondent	Summary of Response Received	Officer Response	Amendments
			required.
Marine Management Organisation	N/A	Noted	No changes to the SCI required.
Local resident – 8	Suggests that on large sites that are near tourist areas, there needs to be region wide publicity. Also mentions that mapping needs to be clearer.	Noted. The buffers included are considered to be reasonable. However, the SCI gives the flexibility for this to be extended based on local circumstances. Neighbourhood notification is part of a package of measures we undertake to inform the local community of proposed development / policy work in the area.	No changes to the SCI required.
Tichborne Parish Council	Yes to all	Noted	No changes to the SCI required.
Meon Shore Chalet Owners Association	N/A	Noted	No changes to the SCI required.
Chineham Parish Council	N/A	Noted	No changes to the SCI required.
Local resident – 12	More emphasis on conservation	Noted	No changes to the SCI required.
Lee-on-the-Solent Residents Association	Suggestion that the document is too long.	Noted. The County Council has a duty to consider the areas identified in the SCI by Government Guidance and policy. A summary of its main provisions will be prepared and published following adoption.	No changes to the SCI required.

Respondent	Summary of Response Received	Officer Response	Amendments
Stephen Bowley Planning Consultancy	N/A	Noted	No changes to the SCI required.
Southern Water	Regarding <i>'Figure 4: Examples of consultees and interested parties for minerals and waste plan-making and planning applications in Hampshire'</i> we notice that <i>'Public Utilities, National Grid, Statutory Undertakers'</i> are listed under the heading <i>'Other Consultees'</i> . We would point out that whilst Southern Water would be classified as <i>Other Consultees</i> on planning applications, we are <i>Statutory Consultees</i> on plan-making, and as such would expect to be notified about any plan-making consultations as a matter of course.	Noted.	Figure 4 will be amended to reflect the status of 'Public Utilities, National Grid, Statutory Undertakers' as statutory consultees for plan-making.
Savills on behalf of Thames Water Utilities Ltd	A key sustainability objective for the preparation of the Local Plan should be for new development to be co-ordinated with the infrastructure it demands and to take into account the capacity of existing infrastructure. Paragraph 156 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), March 2012, states: "Local planning authorities should set out strategic policies for the area in the Local Plan. This should include strategic policies to deliver:..... the provision of infrastructure for water supply and wastewater...." Paragraph 162 of the NPPF relates to infrastructure and states: "Local planning	Noted.	Figure 4 will be amended to reflect the status of 'Public Utilities, National Grid, Statutory Undertakers' as statutory consultees for plan-making. Figure 15 will be amended to reflect the provisions of the adopted Oil and Gas SPD which indicates that water companies will be consulted on all oil and gas proposals. It will also be amended to take into account relevant service

Respondent	Summary of Response Received	Officer Response	Amendments
	<p>authorities should work with other authorities to: assess the quality and capacity of infrastructure for water supply and wastewater and its treatment.....take account of the need for strategic infrastructure including nationally significant infrastructure within their areas.”</p> <p>The National Planning Practice Guidance includes a section on ‘water supply, wastewater and water quality’ and sets out that Local Plans should be the focus for ensuring that investment plans of water and sewerage/wastewater companies align with development needs.</p> <p>Regulation 18 of the Town & Country Planning (Local Planning) Regulations 2012 states that Local Planning Authorities must consult ‘specific consultation bodies’ and invite them to make representations to the local planning authority about what a local plan ought to contain. The interpretation in Part 1 of the Regulations states that sewerage and water undertakers constitute ‘specific consultation bodies’. Thames Water therefore consider that sewerage and water undertakers should be identified as such in the SCI. Thames Water consider it would also be helpful if a list of the specific sewerage/water undertakers covering the area are listed e.g. Thames Water.</p>		<p>providers as appropriate.</p>

Respondent	Summary of Response Received	Officer Response	Amendments
	<p>When carrying out the necessary early consultations with Thames Water regarding the capacity of water supply and sewerage systems in accordance with the Regulations, adequate time should be allowed for Thames Water to consider development options and proposals so that an informed response can be formulated. It is not always possible to provide detailed responses within a matter of weeks for example, the modelling of water and sewerage infrastructure systems will be important to many consultation responses and this can take a long time to carry out (for example modelling of sewerage systems can be dependant on waiting for storm periods when the sewers are at peak flows).</p> <p>Thames Water also have to consult with the Environment Agency to obtain a clear picture as to possible water abstraction and waste water discharge consent limits prior to undertaking modelling from a treatment perspective. This process itself can take a considerable period of time especially if it depends on the EA undertaking its own evaluation exercise. Therefore, realistic consultation periods with water and sewerage undertakers will need to be taken account of in the preparation of the Local</p>		

Respondent	Summary of Response Received	Officer Response	Amendments
	Plan.		
Verwood Town Council	N/A	Noted	No changes to the SCI required.
Local resident – 17	System needs to be more 'local'. Make greater use of Parish/town/district councils.	Noted. Parish, Town and District Councils are all consulted on any planning applications or policy work which may impact their area.	No changes to the SCI required.
Savills on behalf of Thames Water Utilities Ltd	N/A	Noted	No changes to the SCI required.
Local resident – 19	Thinks that boundaries should be 150m for Urban and 300m for Rural.	Noted. The 100 metre buffer is considered to be reasonable. However, the SCI gives the flexibility for this to be extended based on local circumstances. Neighbourhood notification is part of a package of measures we undertake to inform the local community of proposed development in the area.	No changes to the SCI required.
Gosport Borough Council	Yes to all	Noted	No changes to the SCI required.
Cranborne Chase AONB	N/A	Noted	No changes to the SCI required.
Environment Agency	N/A	Noted	No changes to the SCI required.
Test Valley Borough Council	N/A	Noted	No changes to the SCI required.

Respondent	Summary of Response Received	Officer Response	Amendments
Ellisfield Village Association	<p>I welcome the policy to post both sides of planning arguments on the HCC website. This is a reassuring move - thank you. In the past opposing views to planning applications have not been posted on the HCC planning website, which seemed weighted towards the applicant. HCC posted a letter from an applicant's agent, critical of statements against their application. Our own letter in defence was not posted. (Since the application was granted all but one of our concerns have in fact arisen.)</p> <p>Also thinks that consultation area should be larger than 100m.</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>All responses received as part of the planning process are now available to view on the County Council's website when the application is in the process of being determined. All representations received and the issues raised will be documented in any associated decision report.</p> <p>The 100 metre buffer is considered to be reasonable. However, the SCI gives the flexibility for this to be extended based on local circumstances. Neighbourhood notification is part of a package of measures we undertake to inform the local community of proposed development in the area.</p>	No changes to the SCI required.
Natural England	N/A	Noted	No changes to the SCI required.
Hyde Parish Council	<p>Consultation distances not far enough, need to be miles not metres.</p> <p>Proactive publishing of existing mineral/waste management rights as they</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The County Council will not hold any information in relation to mineral/waste management</p>	No changes to the SCI required.

Respondent	Summary of Response Received	Officer Response	Amendments
	<p>exist today including ownerships More focus should be placed on developers need to consult and engage with communities on if/when/how developments will take place to ensure development and planning conditions are relevant and enforceable</p> <p>Notification distances are not sensible. Notifications and notices need to be sent to residents and businesses within a few miles radius as the impact of traffic and visual amenity are much greater than 100m.</p> <p>Face to face discussion of the draft SCI would be helpful to ensure understanding and to test against any issues that have arisen in the past</p> <p>Proposals are Ok but perhaps you could gather a small team together who have been impacted by such developments recently to give their feedback on the proposals and publish those to everyone for comment. Process descriptions tend to sound simple when described in these documents but do they work in real-life situations</p>	<p>rights unless it is associated with a permission granted by the authority.</p> <p>The comments in relation to community engagement are noted and the SCI documents how this will be delivered. This includes public meetings, exhibitions and wider public engagement when preparing applications (at the pre application stage).</p> <p>The 100 metre buffer is considered to be reasonable. However, the SCI gives the flexibility for this to be extended based on local circumstances. Neighbourhood notification is part of a package of measures we undertake to inform the local community of proposed development in the area.</p>	
Basingstoke and Deane Borough	N/A	Noted	No changes to the SCI required.

Respondent	Summary of Response Received	Officer Response	Amendments
Council			
Historic England	<p>Paragraph 3.1.7 explains that planning legislation sets out specific consultation groups that HCC must contact for plan-making, and paragraph 3.1.13 explains what will happen during a period of consultation. However, although implicit, there does not appear to be an explicit statement that the County Council will consult the specific consultation groups (and others) (paragraph 3.1.13 says “in the event of a consultation” not “there will be a consultation”. This is indicated in Figure 6, but not in the text, and its inclusion in the text would represent a logical progression from the requirements in paragraph 3.1.7 to the arrangements in paragraphs 3.1.13 (and 3.1.14). Historic England emphasise our willingness to engage with the County Council on minerals and waste policy matters outside of the formal consultation periods (before, after and in-between) as well as during those periods.</p>	Noted.	Paragraph 3.1.13 will be amended for clarification
Local resident – 29	<p>100m Boundary not large enough, especially on commercial applications in countryside.</p>	<p>Noted. The 100 metre buffer is considered to be reasonable. However, the SCI gives the flexibility for this to be extended based on local circumstances. Neighbourhood notification is part of a package of measures we undertake to</p>	<p>No changes to the SCI required.</p>

Respondent	Summary of Response Received	Officer Response	Amendments
		inform the local community of proposed development in the area.	

PLANNING APPLICATIONS

Question 4: Is the nature of the Hampshire County Council's responsibility for particular classes of planning applications clear? If not, please say how this could be improved.

Respondent	Summary of Response Received	Officer Response	Amendments
Local Resident - 1	Need to consult a geologist	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.
Tichborne Parish Council	Yes.	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.
Lee-on-the-Solent Residents Association	Clouded in volume	Noted. It is important that the SCI covers the areas required to be covered as set out in Government policy and guidance. However, the County Council will produce a summary version of the key aspects of the SCI for the website. A summary of how to respond to planning applications has already been recently prepared and put on our website. A link to this is now sent out with every	No change required to the SCI although a summary of the provisions will be produced for the website once adoption has taken place

Respondent	Summary of Response Received	Officer Response	Amendments
		neighbourhood notification letter.	
Local Resident - 17	County Council should retain strategic policy regarding mineral requirement, site identification and waste disposal	Noted although the issue is not of direct relevance to the revisions to the SCI.	
Local Resident - 19	Yes	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.
Hyde Parish Council	<p>Yes with exception of: cross boundary consultation with NFNPA/Dorset CC. More clarity of how that consultation works is required.</p> <p>More clarity is required as to if and then how EIA should be conducted. I assume that EIAs will almost always be required. If that's true why not make it a condition of all Mineral and Waste proposals? Surely any responsible developer will want to be sure they aren't damaging the environment</p>	<p>Noted.</p> <p>The section on the duty to cooperate sets out the requirements for consulting adjacent planning authorities.</p> <p>The EIA process will not be documented in a SCI. This is a specific development management issue. The EIA process is set out in more detail in National policy and regulations. The Development Management Charter gives more information on the service undertaken by the Council.</p>	No changes to the SCI required.
Historic England	Yes	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.
Southern Water	With regard to Figure 15 consultation on Minerals & Waste/Major County Development, whilst not a statutory consultee on most types of planning	Noted.	Figure 15 will be amended to reflect the provisions of the adopted Oil and Gas SPD which indicates that water

Respondent	Summary of Response Received	Officer Response	Amendments
	<p>applications, it is important that Southern Water is consulted on major applications that may impact on the provision of water and wastewater services to existing customers, for example, if the proposed development affects access to Southern Water's operational assets, including underground mains and sewers. Furthermore, the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015 (Statutory Instrument No. 595) that came into effect on 15th April 2015 makes 'any water or sewerage undertaker in whose area of appointment the development is proposed' a statutory consultee for 'Development involving the boring for or getting of oil and natural gas from shale'. Accordingly, it is suggested that the list of those that will be notified of applications in Figure 15 is widened to include 'relevant service providers'</p>		<p>companies will be consulted on all oil and gas proposals. It will also be amended to take into account relevant service providers as appropriate.</p>
Savills on behalf of Thames Water Utilities Ltd	<p>In relation to consultation on Planning Applications, Thames Water would expect to be consulted on most major planning applications. The adequacy of infrastructure can be a material consideration in deciding whether permission should be granted. Thames Water published and circulated in April 2015 to all Local Planning Authorities</p>	Noted.	<p>Figure 15 will be amended to reflect the provisions of the adopted Oil and Gas SPD which indicates that water companies will be consulted on all oil and gas proposals. It will also be amended to take into account relevant service providers as appropriate.</p>

Respondent	Summary of Response Received	Officer Response	Amendments
	in their area an updated "Water Services Infrastructure Guide for LPAs on Planning Application & Development Plan Consultation with Thames Water Utilities as Statutory Water and Sewerage Undertaker". This will be off assistance when determining which planning applications to consult Thames Water on.		
Local Resident - 29	Yes	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.

Question 5: Do you consider that the proposed arrangements for community involvement in relation to planning applications, for which Hampshire County Council has responsibility, are sufficient and appropriate with regards to:

- a. Pre application discussions and associated consultation?
- b. Publicity and consultation on planning applications?
- c. Community involvement in the consideration of applications?
- d. Appealing planning decisions ?

Respondent	Summary of Response Received	Officer Response	Amendments
Local Resident - 1	Thinks it's difficult to understand.	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.
Tichborne Parish Council	Yes	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.
Local Resident - 12	If people were listened to.	Noted. The purpose of the SCI is to set out how the views of local residents and interested parties will be sought and how these will be taken into account, amongst other considerations when preparing	No changes to the SCI required.

Respondent	Summary of Response Received	Officer Response	Amendments
		<p>planning policy and decisions on planning applications. Public consultation is an essential part of the planning process and Hampshire County Council is committed to this.</p>	
Lee-on-the-Solent Residents Association	No	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.
Local Resident - 17	<p>Believes we need to team up with local District and Borough councils on consultation.</p> <p>Too many communities feel the process is a "done deal" and their views are not fully taken into account. Difficulty is the balance between "Nimbyism" and objective opposition.</p>	<p>Noted. Hampshire's district and borough councils have a duty to prepare a SCI in the same way that Hampshire County Council does for its planning activities. This requirement is set out in Government policy.</p> <p>The purpose of the SCI is to set out how the views of local residents and interested parties will be sought and how these will be taken into account, amongst other considerations when preparing planning policy and decisions on planning applications. Public consultation is an essential part of the planning process and Hampshire</p>	No changes to the SCI required.

Respondent	Summary of Response Received	Officer Response	Amendments
		County Council is committed to this.	
Local Resident - 19	Yes	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.
Ellisfield Village Association	Yes. We are grateful for the Bushywarren Liaison Group and the chance to discuss planning before it happens.	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.
Hyde Parish Council	<p>See comments on notification areas.</p> <p>EIA requirements would add a further and beneficial layer of detail to the communication process Inadequate as above.</p> <p>Use of press notifications is particularly worrying s many people do not receive/read local newspapers etc. Local councils need to take a proactive role in ensuring those who will be impacted are aware through postal campaigns. Definition should err on the side of caution ie include people rather than exclude them. These developments will cause wholesale disruption to people’s lives and cause financial losses and so residents and businesses should be afforded every opportunity to understand what is happening/proposed in time to contribute their views</p> <p>See comments above especially around</p>	<p>Noted. See comments above on notification areas.</p> <p>Noted. See comments on EIA process.</p> <p>Noted. The use of press notices is part of a wider package of measures taken to inform the local community. Local communities can also sign up to the public notices website so will be notified of developments in their area. The Parish Council also has a key role to play in engaging its local communities when development is proposed.</p> <p>Noted. See previous</p>	No changes to the SCI required.

Respondent	Summary of Response Received	Officer Response	Amendments
	involvement in development of proposals. Developers should be forced to engage with local communities. If there is no evidence of an attempt to consult then plans should be deemed invalid. If consultation fails then the developer can prove they tried	comments on community engagement in the planning process.	
Historic England	Yes	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.
Patricia Pegg	Yes	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.

Question 6: Do the proposals in the draft SCI for consultation with the community on planning applications determined by Hampshire County Council strike the right balance between providing sufficient opportunity for involvement and taking decisions in a timely and efficient manner?

Respondent	Summary of Response Received	Officer Response	Amendments
Tichborne Parish Council	Yes	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.
Local Resident - 12	If anybody was listened to	Noted. The purpose of the SCI is to set out how the views of local residents and interested parties will be sought and how these will be taken into account, amongst other considerations when preparing planning policy and decisions on planning applications. Public consultation is an	No changes to the SCI required.

Respondent	Summary of Response Received	Officer Response	Amendments
		essential part of the planning process and Hampshire County Council is committed to this.	
Lee-on-the-Solent Residents Association	No	Comments noted.	No changes to the SCI required.
Local Resident - 17	A more local system would improve on this - that's why I am suggesting transferring the planning determination from County to District/ Borough Councils.	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.
Local Resident - 19	Yes	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.
Hyde Parish Council	No. greater time needed for local engagement. These developments leave a permanent impact on the environment and communities so a longer engagement process would be beneficial	Noted. The purpose of the SCI is to set out how the views of local residents and interested parties will be sought and how these will be taken into account, amongst other considerations when preparing planning policy and decisions on planning applications. Public consultation is an essential part of the planning process and Hampshire County Council is committed to this.	No changes to the SCI required.
Historic England	yes	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.

Question 7: Do you agree with the options identified to guide community involvement in our planning activities?

Respondent	Summary of Response Received	Officer Response	Amendments
Tichborne Parish Council	Yes	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.
Local Resident - 12	No	Comments noted.	No changes to the SCI required.
Lee-on-the-Solent Residents Association	No	Comments noted.	No changes to the SCI required.
Local Resident - 19	Yes	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.
Gosport Borough Council	Yes	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.
Hyde Parish Council	Yes. But see EIA comments. This gives local conservation teams more time to provide relevant input and data that will improve the quality of any development proposal	Noted. See previous comments on EIA process.	No changes to the SCI required.
Historic England	Yes	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.

Question 8: Do you have any other views or comments on any aspect of the draft SCI?

Respondent	Summary of Response Received	Officer Response	Amendments
Local Resident - 12	If only!	Comments noted.	No changes to the SCI required.
Lee-on-the-Solent Residents Association	This is more "Yes Minister" than a serious attempt at community involvement	Comments noted.	No changes to the SCI required.
Local Resident - 17	As a consultation this was far from quick, simple and easy to complete. The questions should be referenced to particular paragraphs. The format is not particularly easy or best presented for non-specialists to answer. The reading required was too much and a summary document would have been helpful. Not HCC's best efforts at public consultation	<p>A summary of the main changes to the document was prepared and available on our website.</p> <p>We will review the formats for collecting consultation responses going forward.</p> <p>It is Hampshire County Council's intention to prepare summary of the consultation requirements for both policy and development management activities once the SCI has been adopted. These will be put on our website</p>	No changes to the SCI required although summary documents will be prepared
Local Resident - 19	No	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.
Gosport Borough Council	No	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.
Test Valley Borough Council	We have no comment to make at this stage, but would like to be kept informed	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.

Respondent	Summary of Response Received	Officer Response	Amendments
	about the progress of the SCI and reserve right to comment at a later stage.		
Hyde Parish Council	It would be very helpful to have summarised how this has changed from previous/current SCIs	Noted. It is Hampshire County Council's intention to prepare summary of the consultation requirements for both policy and development management activities once the SCI has been adopted. These will be put on our website	No changes to the SCI required. to the SCI although summary documents will be prepared
Savills on behalf of Thames Water Utilities Ltd	It will be similarly important that Thames Water are consulted early regarding Neighbourhood Plans and their impact on water supply and sewerage capacity.	Noted. In relation to Neighbourhood Plan the comments are noted but this largely relates to the work undertaken by Hampshire's district or borough councils.	No changes to the SCI required.
Historic England	No	Noted.	No changes to the SCI required.
Local resident – 29	Eu Habitat Directive and Ecology Reports. Section 3.1.4 & 3.1.5 HCC own Ecologist must do their own surveying of wildlife/plant habitat that might be effected by the proposed application. Check for any SSI and SINC sites etc. The applicant must also submit their own Ecology Report. This must not only cover the area of the site but also surrounding hedgerow and woodland that might be effected. HCC Ecologist must check that the Ecology Reports submitted were surveyed at the optimum time of year	Noted. Hampshire County Council's Ecology team are actively involved in any plan-making work.	No changes to the SCI required.

Respondent	Summary of Response Received	Officer Response	Amendments
	for the wildlife/ plant life in the area. Only then with an ACCURATE survey of the wildlife and plant life within the area, can HCC make a decision on the potential impact an application would have. Checks for protected and listed species must be done and recorded. Having two separate reports should then guarantee protected species are protected.		

OTHER COMMENTS

Question 9: How did you hear about the draft SCI consultation – by letter / email, via the County Council website, via Social Media or by other means?

AND

Question 10: Are you responding to the draft SCI as an individual resident of Hampshire or an individual resident outside of Hampshire or as a representative of an organisation or group?

Respondent	Q9 Response Received	Q10 Response Received
Local Resident - 1	A - by email	A - An individual resident of Hampshire
Local Resident - 2	A - by email	No comments included in response
West Berkshire Council	No comments included in response	No comments included in response
Local Resident - 4	No comments included in response	No comments included in response
Highways England	No comments included in response	No comments included in response
Local Resident - 6	No comments included in response	No comments included in response
Marine Management Organisation	No comments included in response	No comments included in response
Local Resident - 8,	B- by letter	A - An individual resident of Hampshire

Tichborne Parish Council	A - by email	C - Parish Council
Meon Shore Chalet Owners Association	No comments included in response	No comments included in response
Chineham Parish Council	No comments included in response	No comments included in response
Local Resident - 12	B- by letter	A - An individual resident of Hampshire
Lee-on-the-Solent Residents Association	A - by email	Neighbourhood Forum
Stephen Bowley Planning Consultancy	No comments included in response	No comments included in response
Southern Water	No comments included in response	No comments included in response
Verwood Town Council	No comments included in response	No comments included in response
Local Resident - 17	A - by email	A - An individual resident of Hampshire
Savills on behalf of Thames Water Utilities Ltd	No comments included in response	No comments included in response
Local Resident - 19	A - by email	C - Parish Council
Gosport Borough Council	A - by email	A district council
Cranborne Chase AONB	No comments included in response	

Environment Agency	No comments included in response	No comments included in response
Test Valley Borough Council	A - by email	A district council
Ellisfield Village Association	A - by email	Neighbourhood Forum
Natural England	No comments included in response	No comments included in response
Hyde Parish Council	D- Other	C - Parish Council
Basingstoke and Deane Borough Council	No comments included in response	No comments included in response
Historic England	A - by email	C - Statutory Agency/Body
Local Resident - 29	A - by email	A - An individual resident of Hampshire

This document can be made available in large print, on audio media, in Braille or in some other languages.

For further information, please contact Strategic Planning:

Telephone: 0300 555 1389 (HantsDirect)

Email: planning@hants.gov.uk

Write to:

Strategic Planning

Economy, Transport & Environment Department

Hampshire County Council

The Castle

Winchester

SO23 8UD

Internet: www.hants.gov.uk/mineralsandwaste
